

Decision support tools or infrastructure owners and operators

Improving resilience in the face of extreme weather events

24 March 2017 Dublin RAIN Final Event Chiara Bianchizza ISIG bianchizza@isig.it Peter Prak PSJ peter.prak@psjadvies.nl

www.rain-project.eu

This project has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement no 608166. The contents of this presentation are the author's views. The European Union is not liable for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.



This project is funded by the European Union



Why was it done

- The developed ORT-application stems from the need expressed by infrastructures' owners and operators to be more involved in the definition of criteria and evaluation methodologies;
- It also implies the possibility to include the evaluation of elements traditionally isolated to qualitative research, and thus not computable in a quantitative vulnerability assessment;
- It allows the creation of an integrated framework for evaluating critical infrastructure's resilience to the impact of natural hazards.





What is developed

An application to assess:

- The level of vulnerability to extreme weather events;
- The level of resilience to these events;
- The possibility to compare outcomes with others;
- And analyse where to improve the preparation.

As a proof of concept for the practical use by end-users:

- Infrastructure owners and operators;
- Municipalities.





Why it is useful

- It allows for the identification of strengths and weaknesses in the system at stake, allowing for an informed decision-making;
- It isolates elements of resilience and allows to identify, among these, the ones that are more easily improvable for positively impacting on the overall resilience (regardless of the underlying vulnerability);
- It supports effective decision-making on the mitigation of negative impact of extreme weather.





Theory behind the criteria

- Selection of dimensions and variables for the evaluation of vulnerability and resilience stems from the work conducted by ISIG within the ECOSTRESS project (<u>www.ecostress.eu</u>);
- They were selected after a rigorous and thorough literature review on the main scientific articles related to resilience and vulnerability evaluation following the IPCC approach (detail on the specific literature is available in the report);
- Results of partner UNIZA related to the vulnerability index included.





Theory behind the criteria

For the development of RAIN ORT, the variables have been further selected and rephrased so to better meet ORT specificities.

Variables were selected for two macro-dimensions:

- a) Sensitivity that in RAIN ORT was conveyed under the umbrella of 'Vulnerability assessment', as context data, less likely to be changed in short periods of time;
- **b)** Adaptive capacity 'Resilience' in RAIN ORT; by understanding resilience as the capacity of a community to cope with and recover from a natural hazard.





Theory behind the criteria

For each macro-dimension, four dimensions were analysed:

- 1. Social
- Demographic composition of population defining vulnerability
- Existence of social networks and skills to evaluate resilience
- 2. Economic
- Resources distribution within the community to evaluate vulnerability
- Potential to mobilise resources when needed as criteria for resilience
- 3. Infrastructural
- Density of buildings as element of potential vulnerability
- Capacity to upgrade infrastructures to be better prepared, as criteria for resilience
- 4. Institutional
- Existence of networks among institutions for mitigation/risk management to identify vulnerability
- Capacity of local authorities to coordinate and work in team as measure of resilience





Objective Ranking Tool (ORT)

ORT is:

- An online web-based software tools;
- Highly secured;
- Specific applications developed;
- Easy to adapt, easy to use;
- Give quick results.





Objective Ranking Tool (ORT)

Theory behind the tool:

- Use of Delphi-panels (expert judgment);
- Use of AHP: Analytic Hierarchy Processing;
- Based on the principles of Similarity Judgment.

ORT can be used in:

- Any form of comparison;
- Decision making;
- Ranking processes.





Criteria

Most important ones based on AHP

•	Existence of public evacuation mechanisms (5.7%)
•	Knowledge of citizens about temporary measures (5.1%)
•	Availability of risk assessments included natural hazards and
	extreme weather (4.9%)
•	Preparedness protocols implemented (4.0%)
•	Early warning system in place for people and businesses (3.9%)
•	Education, training and exercises in place (3.8%)
•	Implementation of ad hoc mitigation activities (3.6%)
•	identification of (infrastructural) assets needing upgrading as means
	of mitigation and prevention (2.8%)
•	Distribution of food and goods (2.7%)
•	Level of awareness of the degree of dependence of the local
	economy on natural hazards or extreme weather events (2.6%) and
	availability of first responders (2.6%)





ORT Test

ORT was tested:

- Through in-depth interviews with local authorities and operators in The Netherlands and in Italy;
- Through dedicated sessions with the above mentioned stakeholders to fill in the self-assessment grid.

The insights gathered:

- Were used to modify criteria so to make them more intuitive;
- Were used to modify the range of values for specific items, where more detail was deemed relevant by stakeholder for an appropriate evaluation;
- Highlight the need for specific contextualisation of the tool in different study areas (i.e. the 'one size fits all' solution leaves sometimes out the specificities of the area that most contribute to resilience for that system).





Proof of concept for municipalities

IRT - Alipe due backing field										A Robert PRI - disagram
Vecycyj w Blocan Briceban Income										
AIN (Dublin) - WP 3.4 secren										Envelop \ge
Lateria Calificada Versión Favos (Marta)	w Labour	ante vorpo	gent.	Anayte						Advertises
Scoverence ecser :	•	University 1	and solution of	a fa miner	Verope By 4	Verolation By S	-	orishe	otain (2)	econolised experiment 11
Leen Weinensche	\$7.969	2	2	2	2	2	2		e	
Institutional	29.13%									
12AM Non-of Jonus (March 19	4.000	5.4	1.1	0.7	11-	3 -	11.9	- h =	6.	
Photoconcers of cardial services	5.0%.	18.	85.		65 -	- 99 -	86.5	45.	45.	
Availability of first assessments	24,85%		1.1	1.1	1.	2 -	$-\pi +$	1 -	1 -	
Economic with part werear	19.44%	N -	1.1	1 -	12 -	1 -	.1+	U	υ-	
Learning learned in glamaniant	12.45%	44.1	14.1	66 -	12 -	22 -	2.5 -	00-	82 -	
Avail (billity-off budget	90.3×%			6	11-	2 -	11-	1.1	1.5	
infrastructural	22,879									
Concentration of Autoday in the same	1.000	0.4	1.1	1.2	14.1	3 -	1.7	1.1	1.4	
Connectivities of rangetasses the state	10.208	۰.		۴.,			А.,	4.5	4	
Comprising the of planty frames in the area.	12.11%		1.1	B -	1.	2 -	a -	۰. 10	υ.	
Density of clipses without splits for self-protection	18,90%		1.1	8 -	1.	8	1.1	۰.0	۰ ت	
Density of chipsers in the same	10.125		1.1	1 -	2.1	2 -	1 -	1 -	1 -	
Provinting of entitical cross polints	\$9,69%	4.4	1.5	6 -	11-	a -	1.4	÷-	۰. ف	

Qualman /

🚴 ISIG 🔁



Proof of concept: outcome

ORT Criscons nors	ring tool									🛓 Beheerins, Estrepper (
Vaarpagina Eantr	en Gebruitern	Projecton								
AIN (Dublin) -	WP 3.4 res	ultaten								Bovelen ×
Criteria Criterianat	ts Verienties	Secret	Fesultation	Criterizant	a vergelijken	Aralya				Admittanis
										modiater courtmen *
< terug naar resultaten										
Resultaten voor	Region 1		Ĕ	,	1.41			2 44	1 X X	
- Seugreser resultation Rosultation voor Ofteniaset	Region 1 REFERENTIC		FEFENTIE	orthoda	ricipality 1 aricipality 2	ditio	rida (2)	a ricipality 3	aricipality 5	
- Integriser resultation Resultation voor Oftenlaset Borteer scores	Region 1 REFERENTE alkament 1		PEREPENTIE	Lizzehoetz	Municipality 1 Municipality 2	Contribu	Ocrisia (2)	Maricloshy 3	Markolpulliy 5	





Proof of concept: criteria sets

	Objective Renking	Teal										🛓 Deheer PG. – vitiogen
Veersagin	Kartes	Sebruikers	Projectan									
rain (C	Jublin) - W	P 3.4 resu	ultaten									Dewerker
Criteria	Diferiesete	Verianten	Secres	Resultation	Oriteria	eta vergoi	ijkan	Analyse				Advebode
General states	1977	Gentlyn 1			- N			-				sesuitaten opprieren
Resultate	1400	Region 1		•	Municipality 2	Municipality I	Munopetty 6	Murichelity 5	Ubsechnein	Beelin	Gerizia (2)	resultaten exporteren
Pesuitate	1400	Hegion 1		-	Municipality 2	Municipality I	Munopetty 6	Mariefector E	Ubselvida	OLK C Bookia	derizia (z)	sesultaten exporteren
Resultator REFERENCE	ne lence	Heaton 1		• • •	z Atpodotras Marindotras Na Diros Na Diros	Ministery I	Writebelly a	Writebeldy S 8.3001	Daveboole	ootija Drint C	0 1241 0 1411	sesultaten exporteren





Proof of concept: analyses

						≜ Biter?
endra l'Antes	Salvaliana Property					
N (Dublin) - W	P 3.4 resultaten					
					_	
ria Critericado	Verlenfon Doenco Booulitation	Criteriae	da vergelijkas	kodys	•	Ad
goos stays						any te eq
para in	degine i					
stisest	Particula II					
wiocober						
white streneway lat	4					
**	largeneration				2	
e Agrectar	P.				-	
					- 2	
ali Febili enco						
incident state	s' sé hao mistorriar activities	191		-		
			- I	here (hered		
				redoction.		
				100000		
				No. of Color		
				ani ani	04	
And a state of the		4.000				
and extention was	and a second			local civi		
				and and and		
				and the second		
				10.000	08	
itangan parabitis						
Islash all if, possi	Liftin	1.003		And the second		
				(national)		
				tepienal 👘		
				and the section of th	10.00	





Conclusions

- Resilience can be enhanced by stakeholders in a relative short time-frame;
- Vulnerability can't be changed that soon, as it based often on demographic factors, resources distribution etc.;
- A community can be and remain inherently vulnerable, while developing effective resilience-enhancement strategies;
- Possibility to compare the outcome of different stakeholders so decisions can be made where cost-effective interventions can be made;
- Stakeholder involvement is needed from the beginning of assessment project;
- ORT is relatively easy process which can be done as a self-assessment;
- Guideline should be developed.





For infrastructure owners and operators

- Principles behind the ORT-application are valid;
- Develop area specific weather types;
- Judge the criteria;
- Score per weather type the outcome;
- Compare differences between participants
- Based on the analyse part within the application judge improvements





Lessons identified

- Involvement of end-users is needed in scientific work to ensure practical implementation of the scientific work;
- Involvement of end users is needed in order to guarantee a user-oriented approach, based on effective need assessment, as defined by EC Innovation standards;
- Calibrating developed criteria is needed to ensure acceptance from stakeholders; criteria and relative weight should be developed for different weather types;
- Proof of concepts should be organised with in-depth involvement of endusers;
- Pilot phases should cover longer time frames within the project.





RAIN Project



Chiara Bianchizza ISIG bianchizza@isig.it

Peter Prak PSJ peter.prak@psjadvies.nl



www.rain-project.eu



This project is funded by the European Union